

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/595,853	NOWAK ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Darcy D. LaClair	1763

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Darcy D. LaClair.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Suzannah K. Sundby.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 19 April 2011.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed: Nowak, Deller, Gruenewaelder, Hasenzahl

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*Nowak is overcome by combination of amendment and date. Discussed potential Amendments wrt Deller, Discussed Combination of G & H. A human translation of Deller will be obtained to clarify its disclosure.*

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)